UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAUL E. LOUIS, SR.,

Plaintiff,

v. 6:07-CV-0557

(GHL)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

APPEARANCES: OF COUNSEL:

PAUL E. LOUIS, SR. Plaintiff *pro se* 153 Reservoir Road Newport, New York 13416

HON. GLENN T. SUDDABY United States Attorney for the Northern District of New York Counsel for Defendant P.O. Box 7198 100 S. Clinton Street Syracuse, New York 13261-7198

KAREN T. CALLAHAN, ESQ. Special Assistant United States Attorney

GEORGE H. LOWE, United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER

On April 10, 2008, I issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 13) be granted. (Dkt. No. 20.) On April 14, 2008, the parties consented to me conducting any and all proceedings in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73. (Dkt. No. 21.) On April 18, 2008, Plaintiff filed timely objections to my Report and Recommendation. (Dkt. No. 22.) I have reviewed those objections and find them to be without merit.

This Court lacks jurisdiction to review Defendant's decision because Plaintiff's complaint was not filed within 60 days of Plaintiff's receipt of notice of the administrative decision. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Plaintiff has not demonstrated that the 60-day deadline should be equitably tolled. Moreover, this Court lacks jurisdiction because the administrative decision was fully favorable to Plaintiff'. *Jones v. Califano*, 576 F.2d 12, 18 (2d Cir. 1978). *See also Buck v. Secretary*, 923 F.2d 1200, 1203 (6th Cir. 1991) ("The claimant has no standing to appeal a fully favorable decision."); *Wheeler v. Hacker*, 719 F.2d 595, 600 (referring to the "rule that judicial review of favorable decisions is generally unavailable."). Finally, this Court lacks jurisdiction to review Defendant's refusals to reopen the administrative decision. *Byam v. Barnhart*, 336 F.3d 172, 180 (2d Cir. 2003.)

WHEREFORE, it is hereby

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 13) is **GRANTED**.

Dated: April 23, 2008

Syracuse, New York

United States Magistrate Judge

Plaintiff, through counsel, asserted an onset date of May 1, 1981, and the Appeals Council's decision found that Plaintiff has been disabled since May 1, 1981.